

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,471	10/804,471 03/19/2004		Paul Haefner	GUID.608PA	7214	
51294	7590 08/07/2006			EXAMINER		
HOLLING 8009 34TH	SWORTH & F	LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ				
SUITE 125	AVE S.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN 5542	25	3766			
				DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· -		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/804,47	' 1	HAEFNER, PAUL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Carl H. La	yno	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comported for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. tatutory period will apply and wi y will, by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 March 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17,24,29-37 and 43-45</u> is/are rejected.							
=	Claim(s) <u>18-23,25-28 and 38-42</u> is/s							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 20</u>	<u>004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04,2/14/05		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449s), which were received by the Office on July 26, 2004 and February 14, 2005.

Drawings

2. Applicant's formal drawings were received by the Office on March 19, 2004 and have been approved of by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16, 29, 30, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, claim 1 is indefinite in that the statement "the sensed signal" (line 10) is ambiguous. The Examiner does not know if this refers to a sensed "electrocardiogram signal" detected at the subcutaneous non-intrathoracic location or the "received signal" associated with the non-electrophysiological cardiac source. Claims 2-16 are also rejected since they depend from rejected base claim 1.

In regard to claims 29 and 30, the statement "detecting presence or non-presence of the cardiac arrhythmia" (lines 1-2), has no antecedent basis. Base claim 17 recites the step of "detecting a cardiac arrhythmia", not separately sensing for its "non-presence" (i.e. absence, presence of normal sinus rhythm, etc.). The presumption of claim 17 is that a cardiac arrhythmia has already been detected using the sensed ECG signal.

Page 3

In regard to claim 44, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for the term "the discriminating means". To overcome this rejection, the Examiner recommends replacing this with the term "means for detecting".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 16, 17, 30-35, 43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hauser et al (US 6,280,462) (Applicant's prior art).

In regard to claims 1, 17, 35, and 43, the Hauser et al (US 6,280,462) patent, cited by the applicant as prior art, describes an implantable heart treatment and monitoring device (Fig.6) having embodiments whose methods of operation read upon applicant's claimed method steps.

Art Unit: 3766

Specifically, the device of Hauser et al includes a surface electrode 14, mounted on the outer surface of the device's housing 10 (Figs. 1, 3, 4, and 17). The device may be located subcutaneously in a patient's pectoral or abdominal regions rather than near a patient's heart (col.5, lines 49-52); consequently, the Examiner considers its location to be non-intrathoracic. Although intracardiac electrodes 28 and 29 (Fig.6 -- col.5, lines 42-43) normally sense the patient's cardiac signals (i.e. ECG), the surface electrode 14 on the device housing also may be used for sensing (Abstract, lines 8-10), or may be used as a replacement for "one of [these] intravascular catheter electrodes" (col.5, lines 55-58). In addition to this sensor, the device of Hauser et al includes a temperature sensor 19 for sensing the temperature inside the body/heart, which performs the function of applicant's "non-electrophysiologic" signal. The temperature sensor validates the presence of a true cardiac signal versus noise on the sensors inputs since it alerts the device when the device is actually implanted within the body (col.5, lines 5-17) and withholds the delivery of stimulation therapy (i.e. defibrillation shock) if the device is located outside the body (i.e. falsely responding to sensed ambient noise). Surface electrode 14 may selectively be picked by switch 14 to act as a defibrillation electrode in the event that a shock is to be delivered to the patient (col.6, lines 18-20). The device of Hauser et al includes a cardiac detection circuit 84 (Fig. 16) for distinguishing normal sinus rhythm from other abnormal sensed cardiac signals (e.g. cardiac arrhythmias) (col.7, lines 18-20).

In regard to claims 16, 30, 31, 33, 34, and 45, the Hauser et al device generates pacing, cardioversion, or defibrillation therapy in response to detected heart signals (e.g. bradycardia, tachycardia, etc. – col.7, lines 14-15) other than normal sinus rhythm. Applicant's attention is also directed to col.7, lines 18-23.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,471

Art Unit: 3766

spireution control rumber. 10/004, 1

In regard to claim 32, the pulse generator 18 of Hauser et al inherently performs the function of applicant's "processor" since it is has the "full-function pacing capabilities" of a pacer (col.7, lines 13-14).

Page 5

In regard to claim 35, temperature sensor 19 is located within the device housing 10 (Fig.2).

In regard to claim 45, all electrical therapy treatments delivered by the Hauser et al device are done so subcutaneously since it is an implantable device.

7. Claims 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 24, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nelson et al (WO 03/020367 A1) (Applicant's Prior Art).

In regard to claims 1, 2, 8, 17, 24, 36, 37, 43, and 44, the Nelson et al WIPO patent WO 03/020367 A1, cited by the applicant as prior art, describes an implantable therapy device 10 (Fig.1) for detecting and treating myocardial ischemia, which appears to read upon applicant's method and apparatus claims. The Nelson et al device includes a plurality of ECG/EGM sensing electrodes (16,18,20,22,24,26) (Fig.1 – See Abstract) located on the outer housing of device 10 and a lead mounted accelerometer 108 (Fig.5) or pressure transducer (p.9, lines 21-22) for sensing heart activity. The device 10 is considered to be located in a non-intrathoracic area of the body since it can be implanted in the lower abdominal region (p.7, line 11). The applicant's attention is directed to the flow charts of Figs.9 and 10, which show signal discrimination between a normal signal (i.e. normal sinus rhythm) and an ischemic signal using ECG signals and those sensed by the accelerometer/pressure transducer. Note that therapy is withheld from

Art Unit: 3766

the patient (boxes 144 and 160) unless the accelerometer criterion (boxes 140, 156) are first satisfied (i.e. a proper cardiac ischemic signal).

In regard to claim 10, the Examiner considers the accelerometer sensed activity signals (136,152) (Figs.9 and 10) to be "subsonic".

In regard to claims 11 and 25, the accelerometer could be a pressure transducer (p.9, lines 21-22).

In regard to claims 15 and 33, the medical therapy device 10 may be a cardioverter or defibrillator (p.6, lines 27-28).

In regard to claim 31, cardiac therapy is delivered to treat the heart (boxes 144 and 160 of Figs.9 and 10).

In regard to claim 32, in addition to the structure mentioned *supra*, the therapy device includes a processor 110 (Fig.5) and an energy delivery circuit 114.

In regard to claim 45, since the therapy device is implanted, all of its treatments are delivered "implantably".

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3-7, 9, 12, 13, and 29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,471

Art Unit: 3766

9. Claims 18-23, 25-28, and 38-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 7

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on 9/4/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 8

Art Unit: 3766

CARL LAYNO PRIMARY EXAMINER

CHL 8/4/2006